MINISTRY OF EDUCATION "1 DECEMBRIE 1918" UNIVERSITY OF ALBA IULIA FACULTY OF ORTHODOX THEOLOGY DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF THEOLOGY

SUMMARY OF DOCTORAL THESIS

"THE LITURGICAL RECITATIVE, THE OLDEST MUSICAL LANGUAGE OF BYZANTINE MONODY. CASE STUDY AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH THE RECITATIVE FROM THE COPTIC ORTHODOX CHURCH"

PhD Supervisor:

Rev. Fr. Prof. Univ. Dr. Domin ADAM

PhD student:

Rev. Fr. Antonel Marius DUMITRU

ALBA IULIA

2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
1. Argument and general framework
2. Aim and objectives
3. Research status and evidence base
CHAPTER I: ECPHONETIC NOTATION - PERIODISATION, CHARACTERISTICS, PROBLEMATISATION
I. 1. Prosodic characters - ecphonetic characters
I. 2. Ecphonetic notation outside the liturgical context22
I. 3. "Antiphonic" interpretation of ecphonetic signs and the convergent methods
CHAPTER II: THE LITURGICAL RECITATIVE
II. 1. Local sources and resources
II. 2. Morphology
II. 3. Liturgical recitative and epic recitative in Romanian folklor
II. 4. Long-serving deacons, main exponents of the liturgical recitative
II. 5. "Schools" of deaconry in the Romanian Patriarchate 57
CHAPTER III: REPRESENTATIVE ARTICLES AND STUDIES OF ROMANIAN AUTHORS ON LITURGICAL RECITATIVE

III. 1. Romanian authors of the 20th century 61
III. 1. 1. IOAN D. PETRESCU (1884-1970)
III. 1. 2. GEORGE BREAZUL (1884-1961) 70
III. 1. 3. GRIGORE PANȚIRU (1905-1981)
III. 1. 4. NICOLAE LUNGU (1900-1993) 80
III. 1. 5. GHEORGHE CIOBANU (1909-1995)91
III. 2. Contemporary Romanian authors
III. 2. 1. VASILE STANCIU (b. 1958)
III. 2. 2. GABRIELA OCNEANU (b. 1940)
III. 2. 3. DAN-EUGEN DRĂGOI (b. 1974) 101
III. 2. 4. ADRIAN-CRISTIAN MAZILIȚA (b. 1978) 105
III. 2. 5. STEFAN GHERASIM106
III. 2. 6. MARIAN-VASILE DUȚĂ (b. 1970) 107
III.2. 7. VASILE GRĂJDIAN (b. 1953) 111
CHAPTER IV: EXAMPLES AND TRANSCRIPTIONS OF THE LITURGICAL RECITATIVE FROM ROMANIAN PSALTIC BOOKS PRINTED IN OUR COUNTRY
IV. 1. Classical period (1713-1940)
IV. 1. 1. MACARIE THE HIEROMONK (1770-1836) 115
IV. 1. 2. ANTON PANN (1796-1854)
IV. 1. 3. NEAGU IONESCU (1837-1917) 127
IV. 1. 4. IOAN ZMEU (1860-1922)

IV. 1. 5. THEODOR STUPCANU (1861-1925) 134
IV. 1. 6. NICOLAE SEVEREANU (1865-1941) 138
IV. 1. 7. ION POPESCU-PASĂREA (1871-1943) 141
IV. 2. Contemporary period (1970-2021) - models of recitative in the interpretation of some archdeacons and/or priests of the Patriarchal Cathedral of Bucharest or of other eparchial centres in the country
IV. 2. 1. IOAN EVGHENIE DASCĂLU (1911-1995) 152
IV. 2. 2. MARCEL MANOLE 154
IV. 2. 3. MARIAN MOISE
IV. 2. 4. CONSTANTIN Z. GRIGORESCU (1912-1992). 159
CHAPTER V: HISTORICAL-MUSICAL CONSIDERATIONS ON THE COPTIC ORTHODOX CHURCH
V. 1. Who are the Copts and what language do they speak?
V. 2. History and Patrology
V. 3. Characteristics of Coptic music in the context of oriental music: musical forms
V. 4. The earliest (pre) Christian "musical notations" from Egypt
CHAPTER VI: COPTIC MUSICAL THEORISATIONS FROM THE MEDIEVAL PERIOD TO THE 19TH CENTURY
VI. 1. Medieval Arabic and Western sources 208

VII. 1. The Coptic liturgical recitative: particularities VII. 2. The Golgotha hymn, between the polieleul La Râu Babilonului and the funeral song Bradul	233 239
VII. 1. The Coptic liturgical recitative: particularities VII. 2. The Golgotha hymn, between the polieleul La Râu Babilonului and the funeral song Bradul	233
VII. 1. The Coptic liturgical recitative: particularities VII. 2. The Golgotha hymn, between the polieleul La Râu Babilonului and the funeral song	1
	. 226
COPTIC ORTHODOX CHURCH	
CHAPTER VII: MUSICAL EXAMPLES AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE RECITATIVE THE ROMANIAN ORTHODOX CHURCH AND TH	
ILONA BORSAI (1925-1982) and MARGIT TÓTH (192 2009)	
VI. 2.1. ERNEST NEWLANDSMITH (1875-1957)	. 219
VI. 2. Contemporary Western Sources	
VI. 1. 3. FRANÇOIS-JOSEPH FÉTIS (1784-1871)	216
VI. 1. 2. 1111111 (16165) KIRCHER (1662-1666)	212
VI. 1. 2. ATHANASIUS KIRCHER (1602-1680)	

INTRODUCTION

1. Argument and general framework

The present thesis aims to underline the concept of word and music in the context of comparative liturgical recitative, by demonstrating how the two instruments, word and music, contribute to bringing man closer to God within the parameters of cultic rites. It is not easy for us to say which of the two notions best defines man. We would perhaps be tempted to give primacy to the word, simply by virtue of a theological or perhaps philosophical understanding of the act of creation: ... "And the Word became flesh and dwelt among us and we have seen his glory" (John 1-14) or "Let us make man in our image and likeness" (Gen. 1-26). Father Nicolas Losky, a keen observer of the liturgical phenomenon in the Orthodox Church, often claims that "word sings - music proclaims". If we carefully decipher this syntagma, we understand that both ennoble man, and both are placed in the nature of man given by God at creation: body and soul - word and music

But what, after all, is the relationship between word and music? A clear answer can be found in Nicolae Teodoreanu's thesis: "...From a semantic point of view, the word contains a meaning, it denotes something, it is the name of what exists. From an acoustic point of view, the word is a chain of phonemes, sounds with very different sound qualities or colours, divided into two categories: vowels and consonants. Vowels are the supporting sounds of speech and have a precise pitch and harmonic range; they sound, more or less, harmonic. Consonants, on the other hand, are the transition sounds between vowels, they do not have a precise pitch and therefore no harmonic spectrum. The phonemes (vowels and consonants), in their chaining together, are therefore already music: a string of harmonic and disharmonic sounds that are

subject to a certain rhythmic structure. The word is therefore a dual reality, it is both a sound complex and music in itself. This duality of the word corresponds to the dual nature of man: soul and body, mind and heart. For it speaks through meaning to the human mind and through melody to the heart. From this it follows what music is: before it separates itself from the word, before it becomes abstract, before it becomes itself, music is an extension of the word. Song preserves the meaning of the word unaltered, while the sound plane gives greater weight to the vowels, accentuating and developing their melody; song is born from the implicit melody of the spoken word. It is also a duality: meaning and sonority. Perhaps it is not by chance that of recitative, the melodized (Sprechgesang), which is a further step in the direction of the musicalisation of speech, has been so cultivated by the Eastern Church, for it extends the sense-sound duality of which we have spoken. This type of recitation, called ekphonesis, is a Hellenistic inheritance and is found not only among Christians, but also among Jews and Muslims, in the recitation of sacred texts".

Word and music have been present since the earliest ritual expressions of man, especially the ancient-testamentary. It is very interesting to follow how man used the word, sound or image in his relationship with the divine. In the Old Testament we can see the dynamic of the revealing word, the transmitter of divine messages or plans. Regardless of the period, referring to the patriarchs or judges, prophets or kings, God revealed Himself to man through the word, conveying His message through chosen "characters". In such times, the acoustic (word) had a completely different role than the visual. God's revelation then was always indirect, for "no one could see the face of God and live" (Ex. 33-22). With the Incarnation of the Saviour Jesus Christ, another opportunity of communication was opened to man: face-to-face vision. From this moment *a new musicality begins*.

2. Aim and objectives

The present PhD thesis entitled "Liturgical recitative, the oldest musical language of Byzantine Monody. Case Study and Comparative Analysis with the Coptic Orthodox Church Recitative", brings under the spotlight an important topic of Byzantinology and musicology, namely: the first neumatic notation of Byzantine music and, implicitly, the instrument with which it operated for almost ten centuries: the liturgical recitative. The approach to this theme is something new in the context of musicology and Byzantinological research in our country; new in many ways:

- 1. Liturgical recitative and to a much greater extent ecphonetic notation have raised real technical problems throughout the musicological world. Since ecphonetic notation is so old, the interpretation of its specific visual signs has not yet brought experts in this field together. This can be explained by the total lack of manuscripts that unequivocally contain in their pages theoretical explanations of the characters of ecphonetic notation. Although there are a few documents that specify the names of the signs, names that find their etymological meaning in their visual form, these documents do not specify musical, intonational or interpretative functionality. Such questions are still relevant and legitimate: Do ecphonetic characters signs have musical functionality or are they just prosodic accents? Are they fixed, stable steps or melodic formulas, as they are placed on the scriptural text? Are they to be retained by the declamator of the Gospel text and then applied to any other pericope, like pre-established, mnemotechnical formulas? And the list of questions goes on...
- **2.** In addition to this there is a very small number of Lectionaries or Manuscripts with scriptural texts over which the respective (ecphonetic) signs were placed. On the territory of our country only one Lectionary is known, the so-called

Evangelical Lectionary of Iasi (Ms. 160/IV-34) and therefore, for us Romanians it was all the more difficult to make comparative musical analyses. The first researcher to study this Lectionary, transcribing it in linear notation, was Archdeacon Grigore Pantiru, and this was almost 40 years ago. This manuscript could have been joined by two other Lectionaries of Romanian origin, but they were donated to monasteries on Mount Athos (the first one at Dionisiu - by Mircea Ciobanu and Doamna Chiajna, and the other one at the Great Lavra, donated in 1643 by Matei Basarab and Elena Doamna). As a result of various research studies undertaken with some difficulty in the 1960s and 1970s on Mount Athos, scholar Sebastian Barbu-Bucur mentions their existence in passing, without undertaking any musical documentation, photocopying or transcribing, as Grigore Pantiru did. Unfortunately, the situation of the collection of manuscripts with ecphonetic notation in our country is one of the most unfortunate, compared to our neighbouring countries. Bulgaria, for example, has no less than eight such documents in the National Library in Sofia. One is in the historic Bachkovo Monastery in the south of the country and three ecphonetic manuscripts in the Ivan Dujcev Slavonic-Byzantine Research Centre in Sofia. Such a situation is bizarre to say the least, given the extraordinary fame of the Putna School of Music of the 15th-16th centuries, which polarised a very large geographical area, reaching as far as Prague. If Putna was such an important laboratory for the writing and performance of musical manuscripts in Paleo and Middle Byzantine notation, it is hard to believe that this cultural and spiritual effervescence came out of nowhere in history, without having been anticipated documentarily in one way or another.

3. Another interesting point of the thesis is its emphasis on the fact that the concrete understanding of liturgical recitative by the church minister is at least as important as the purely theoretical understanding of the scholar or musicologist. However, in our studies on the subject, one only observes a

technical analysis, detached from the "fire of events"; the liturgical recitative is seen only through the prism of pentameter, iambs or dithyrambs, tributary to the inner laws of language. This approach, limited to the establishment of rigid intonational rules (otherwise correct), and which follow in stretto the specific prosodic laws of the language used, is not sufficient. In the concrete sphere of practice, at the level of deacons and declamatory priests (and here we refer exclusively to those ministers trained musically, especially "career deacons", not transitional ones) another kind of answer should be found. Here, the freedom of musical interpretation of the liturgical recitative is interwoven with the knowledge of phrasing, with the aesthetic sense of the minister, with the study of the text to be read, but above all with the state of living and active prayer, as an integral part of religious service and liturgical worship.

4. As a response to the shortcomings of ecphonetic manuscripts in our country, two Greek manuscripts with ecphonetic notation have recently been discovered in prestigious libraries on the North American continent, hitherto unreported, at least from a musical perspective. The first manuscript, also known as the *Codex Torontonensis*, dating from the 11th century, is in the Library of the University of Toronto, Canada, located in the "Thomas Fischer" Rare Book Department.

The second document has a special cultural significance for us, as it was in the possession of the Romanian historian and collector of Byzantine manuscripts Constantin Erbiceanu (1838-1913) for a period of more than ten years (1866-1877). The history of the latter manuscript is interesting, as it once again describes Byzantine historiography and ecclesiastical life, which concerns Romania. In this manuscript we find reference to Hrisant Notara, the then Patriarch of Jerusalem (1707-1731), a friend of St. Constantine

Cantacuzino, who was on good terms with the ruler Constantin Brancoveanu and with St. Antim Ivireanul, Metropolitan of the Romanian Country (1708-1716). The manuscript in question is called *Jaharis Gospel Lectionary* and has been in the Medieval Art Collection of the Metropolitan Museum in New York, USA, since 2007.

5. A final point that enhances this work is the confrontation of the liturgical recitative specific to the Byzantine-Roman space with the psalmody or liturgical chanting that characterizes the Old Eastern Churches, especially in the Egyptian Coptic Orthodox Church and tangentially with that of the Ethiopian Church. This comparative-analytical perspective can provide undoubted evidence of the presence and importance of the first notation of Orthodox church music in the ichonomy of the Byzantine sound phenomenon through the identification of Coptic manuscripts with presumably ecphonetic notation of the 10th-11th century, placed on top of Sahidic texts, but it also has the particular role of further highlighting the oral character of these common traditions, which is seen as the core of liturgical dynamics: text and melody.

3. Research status and evidence base

In contrast, musicologists outside Romania have turned their attention to ecphonetic notation since the second half of the 19th century. The first to introduce the term or phrase 'ecphonetic notation' was Ioannes Tzetzes, in his work $H \, \varepsilon \pi i vo \eta \sigma i \zeta \, \tau \eta \sigma \, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \mu \alpha v \tau i \kappa \eta \zeta \, \tau \omega v \, B v \zeta \alpha v \tau i \kappa \omega v \, of \, 1885$. He was soon joined by another Greek scholar, M. Papadopoulos-Kerameus, who in 1890 edited well-known ecphonetic manuscripts.

At the beginning of the 20th century, three other great personalities devoted much of their scientific work to discovering, inventorying and circulating musical manuscripts with ecphonetic notation, first of which is the Frenchman Jean Baptiste Thibaut, followed by the Danish Carsten Høeg and the Austrian Egon Wellesz. Thibaut was a member of the Russian Institute of Archaeology in Constantinople and in this position published in St. Petersburg two of the three books necessary for the study of Byzantine music, devoted exclusively to ecphonetic notation. The three books total more than 400 pages, including folios of very good quality and provide musical manuscripts arranged over a period of several centuries (V-XI).

Equally commendable is the contribution of the Danish Carsten Høeg, who undertook numerous study trips in the interwar period, more precisely between 1930 and 1934. The motivation of the young researcher at the time is surprising: he cites the "acute lack" of documents on ecphonetic notation in the manuscript collection of the National Library in Copenhagen.

This is indeed astonishing when one considers that Denmark is not an Orthodox country, and that the early 20th century here was not at all distinguished in terms of Byzantine musical tradition, quite the contrary. Armed with a camera, the ambitious researcher visited Mount Athos, Thessaloniki, Athens, Jerusalem and St Catherine's Sinai in turn. To these he added Constantinople and the island of Lesbos, London, Oxford and Paris. In Constantinople he contacted Patriarch Photios II by letter, from whom he received a blessing to reach the Great Lavra and Vatoped. Undoubtedly, Høeg is a meritorious pioneer in the research of ecphonetic notation, adding to this the fact that he was, together with Egon Wellesz and Tillyard, one of the initiators of the famous *Monumenta*

Musicae Byzantinae, then the most important publicistic working tool of universal musicology and Byzantinology.

The third pillar of ecphonetic notation was the Hungarian-born Austrian Egon Wellesz. A man for whom scholarly research in Byzantine music became almost a lifelong mission. This can be easily explained once one traces the course of his professional training: he studied music with the composers and musicians Arnold Schoenberg and Guido Adler; the latter was decisive in Egon Wellesz's training, as he was a pioneer of musicology, in the sense of identifying and separating the fields of musical research, for example: music history and music theory, but also ethnomusicology.

Egon Wellez wrote and printed many studies on Byzantine music, closely following the musical phenomenon in the Byzantine area, especially musical notations. His most comprehensive edited work is *A History of Byzantine Music and Hymnography*, in two editions, 1949 and 1961. As far as ecphonetic notation is concerned, Wellesz was the first musicologist to investigate the Oxyrinchos papyrus no. 1786 of the 3rd-4th centuries, the first Christian document with alphabetic notation, and he produced theses on the eight signs identified in it, without, however, reaching a satisfactory result regarding their work.

The doctoral thesis is structured by seven chapters, each with the necessary developments, presented in the form of sub-chapters.

The first chapter is entitled: ECPHONETIC NOTATION - PERIODIZATION, CHARACTERISTICS, PROBLEMATIZATION. Here ecphonetic notation has been treated from a semantic perspective, from the point of view of manuscript sources, as well as periodization. The ecphonetic notation constitutes the fundamental framework for the development of the liturgical recitative, being the one that has imprinted the legal parameters in which the latter acts and functions.

Certain notions and meanings involved in this idiom were explained, such as: prosodic characters versus ecphonetic characters, linguistic functionality and musical functionality of ecphonetic signs, polemics about them, the meaning of signs outside the liturgical context, to which concrete examples from different times and cultures were added. All the issues raised have led to an undeniable conclusion: ecphonetic signs or characters have a double functionality: linguistic and musical, and represent fixed sounds rather than melodic formulas that are hardly free to be used in any context.

The second chapter turns specifically to the LITURGICAL RECITATIVE, also by establishing the semantic framework, by highlighting local sources and editorial resources, but also by a necessary structuring: *strict recitative, improvisatory recitative and introductory recitative*. Parallels were created between the liturgical recitative, with a strictly cultic functionality (e.g. litanies, evangelical and apostolic readings) and the epic recitative from Romanian folklore. In this sense, it was possible to observe obvious structural similarities between the two, in the direction of a rectilinearity of the musical discourse, of the restricted melodic

framework and of the interdependence between text and melody, the text having the most important role.

Also in this chapter, the practical, applied side of recitative was discussed, with reference to those who give it life in the church: priests and deacons (especially long-serving deacons). Extending this aspect, the "schools of deaconry" in the Romanian Patriarchate and by extension the styles of interpretation of the declaimers were highlighted.

The third chapter looked at the ARTICLES AND STUDIES OF ROMANIAN AUTHORS LITURGICAL RECITATIVE. The material was divided into two sections: 19th and 20th century Romanian authors and contemporary Romanian authors. The personalities in our country who have dedicated editorial space to the recitative, both in the theological and musical environment at the Conservatory level, were highlighted. Some of them, such as George Breazul, Grigore Pantiru, Nicolae Lungu, Dan Eugen Drăgoi, Gabriela Ocneanu, Marian Vasile Dută or Vasile Grăjdian, deserve to be brought forth. The theological and musical perspective of the authors in this chapter has brought necessary clarifications on how the recitative should be used in church, a manner that is declamated, not spoken or read.

Logically, the fourth chapter has revealed the compositional EXAMPLES OF THE RECITATIVE IN OUR COUNTRY, of important names from the classical period - we refer to the 19th century - such as Macarie the Hieromonk, Anton Pann, Theodor Stupcanu, Ion Popescu-Pasărea but also from the contemporary period, very little or not at all addressed: Archdeacon Ioan Evghenie Dascălu, Frs. Marcel Manole and Constantin Z. Grigorescu or Marian Moise, who produced oral interpretative examples, which were later transcribed on linear notation after audio recordings. Also in this chapter, transcriptions were made from psaltic notation to

linear notation of the recitatives, and musical analyses were unfolded, from a rhythmic-melodic and aesthetic-stylistic point of view.

The last three chapters (5, 6 and 7) of the present work are a particular novelty, proposing a historical and musical approach to liturgical worship in the Coptic Orthodox Church, circumscribed to the great family of the Old Eastern Churches. They have been divided as follows:

Chapter 5: HISTORIACL-MUSICAL CONSIDERATIONS ON THE COPTIC ORTHODOX CHURCH, in which the linguistic, historical and patristic boundaries of the Coptic Orthodox Church were established, followed by a discussion of the stylistic and content elements of the music used in the Coptic Church. At the end of this chapter some unpublished manuscripts from the first centuries AD were presented which prove the existence of Christian musical notations, some of them with a clear ecphonetic aspect. These are the *Oxyrhynchos 1786, Gulezyan* and *Crum* manuscripts.

Chapter 6 presents some THEORISATIONS that have been carried out ON COPTIC MUSIC from the 13th century through Ibn Kabar to the 19th century, a period represented by Athanasius Kircher, Francois Fetis, Ernest Newlandsmith and Ilona Borsai. The characteristic of this period is defined by the attempts to transcribe Coptic music into linear notation, a music recognised by its exclusively oral aspect, as no musical notation of any kind exists in the Coptic Church, even to this day. An analysis of these musical theorisations demonstrates the indissoluble link that Coptic music has with that of the Pharaonic period.

The seventh and last chapter of this doctoral thesis presents the PARTICULARITIES OF THE COPTIC LITURGICAL RECITATIVE and then proposes a comparison

with the Romanian recitative, part of the Byzantine liturgical recitative. While the Romanian Byzantine recitative may constitute a separate musical genre, with its particularities of form and content, the recitative in the Coptic Church does not enjoy the same status, but rather belongs to the vocal musical style that defines the whole of Coptic music. The Coptic recitative does not strictly follow the emphasis of the text, and the melody does not play the role of the latter's discrete melodic doubling. Nevertheless, similarities can be found between the Coptic recitative and the Byzantine Romanian one, similarities that point to the Christian musical background of the first centuries and to the common sources that define the two musics, especially the folkloric one.

CONCLUSIONS

In recent decades, more and more musicologists have tried to explain, compare, separate or even downplay two distinct but not so well assumed typologies: modal music and tonal music. The most correct approach to the two, however, is to see them through each other or as reflections of one other. Putting them separately under analytical scrutiny might be a sterile and incomplete approach, an approach that has led to ideas such as atonalism, avant-garde music, such as John Cage's 4'33", etc. Staying or returning to simplicity at the expense of complexity gives more time for thought and reflection.

The Old Eastern churches, such as the Egyptian and Ethiopian Coptic, at first glance fall along the lines of a sonorous paucity. In their worship, music seems to be stuck within its own limits: excessive vocalisation, syllable clusters, rhythm, clapping, liturgical dancing and even a complete lack of a system of musical notation, as in the case of the Coptic Orthodox Church. These ancestral components may lead some specialists to approach the music of their churches from a "topdown" perspective of superiority, or even to disregard it. The Byzantine liturgical recitative is placed on the same line of simplicity, within the limits of which the Romanian one is also placed.

The present work aims at a gradual approach, "from the bottom-up", from the simple to the complex, in order to try to clarify how liturgical music has formed its own soundtrack in the worship of the latter churches, which only since the 20th century have discovered the "mysteries of simplicity" towards a complex, complicated and speculative world.

The Coptic Church has not developed elaborate musical forms, but has amazingly and for a long time preserved a homogeneous, not quite simple, musical structure, managing

to avoid as far as possible foreign influences, often irreverently imposed.

For the Coptic religious community, music was the cultural and spiritual link between the glorious pre-Christian past and the spiritual permanence of 'Nitrean' Christianity; it was a vector and force of a religious nationalism, but without ever reaching a level of extreme nationalism. Even if the liturgical organization of the form of the Octoih approached the Egyptian Coptic cult, it did not impose itself on the conscience of this church, imnodia taking on another, obviously non-byzantine, but not necessarily less spectacular face.

The ecphonetic recitative in Egyptian Coptic culture is not as much of a declamatory emphasis as in the Greek and Romanian Churches, for example, the Greek-Syriac genius there being redeemed in another way here. In a Coptic apostolic or evangelical reading, one can at one point notice a horizontal distribution of some of the sounds, giving the impression of a recitative, but they have more the role of "rest" in the discourse of the pericope, the reading continuing immediately in the characteristic vocal modal manner.

The lack of a system of musical notation from a particular culture would have led us ab initio to draw some hasty conclusions. Even if the presence of musical notation has its proven advantages, in the Coptic Orthodox Church, by various means, it has been possible to outline, preserve and above all to transmit over time a fairly well-knit oral musical treasury. Whether it is the blind professional singers with their ability to memorize melodies, or the active and effective involvement of all age groups in long services, or any other motivation, orality has borne fruit here. Of course, musical systems with developed notation also have their shortcomings, directed towards a smaller or larger niche of specialists, depending on historical favour, but it is precisely this shoulder-

to-shoulder walking of the two idioms that gives them even greater musical and spiritual value. The Coptic Orthodox Church today can offer a much-needed kaleidoscopic perspective on early Christian worship with all its constituent elements, of which music is no exception.

Indeed, the Coptic liturgical recitation and the Byzantine ecphonetic recitative (in which the Romanian one is also included) have fundamental elements in common: orality and a certain explanatory-scientific "subtlety" of the ecphonetic signs, theological content based mainly on the scriptural text, the vocal character of both - even if it is quite easily subject to external stylistic cautions. Last but not least, both are based on collective memory and traditions of which the Eastern peoples in particular were capable. It is not by chance that one of the sources of Coptic and Byzantine liturgical music is folklore.

It must continue to provide the essence of its simplicity and orality to these liturgical musics par excellence; when it no longer does, we will witness abstractions of all kinds that will be spiritually impoverished by their weightlessness.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. PRIMARY SOURCES

Unpublished sources, manuscripts, dictionaries, printed books with musical notation

- 1. *** MS CODEX TORONTONENSIS, The four gospels in Greek, cca. 1050, "Thomas Fischer" Rare Book Library Manuscripts, University of Toronto, ON, Canada.
- 2. *** *MS JAHARIS BYZANTINE LECTIONARY*, cca. 1100, New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, U.S.A.
- 3. ATIYA, Aziz S., *The Coptic Encyclopedia*, vol. 6, New York, Macmillan Publishing Company, 1991.
- 4. BARBU-BUCUR, Sebastian, Filothei sin Agăi Jipei Psaltichie Rumănească II Anastasimatar, Izvoare ale muzicii românești, vol. VII B, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1984.
- 5. BARBU-BUCUR, Sebastian, *Manuscrisele Muzicale Românești de la Muntele Athos*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 2000.
- 6. CAMARIANO, Nestor, *Catalogul manuscriselor grecești*, București, 1940.
- 7. DZUROVA, Axinia, *Répertoire des manuscrits grecs enluminés (IX-X siècle)*. Centre de recherches slavo-byzantine, Université de Sofia "St. Clement d'Ohrid", Sofia, 2006.
- 8. GETOV, Dorotei, A catalogue of the greek manuscripts in the National Library "Sts. Cyril and Methodius", Sofia, Brepols Publishers, Turnhout, Belgium, 2019.
- 9. IONESCU, Neagu, Buchetul Musical care cuprinde în sine toate cântările indispensabile unui cântăreț peste tot anul, București, Tipografia Cărților Bisericești, 1900.
- 10. IONESCU, Neagu, SBURLAN, J.B., Grammatica, Anastasimatarul și Irmologhionul de Cântări bisericești, Buzău, Noua Tipographia Română A. Georgescu, 1875.

- 11. LITZICA, Constantin, *Catalogul manuscriptelor grecești*, București, Edit. Academiei Române, 1909.
- 12. MACARIE Ieromonahul, *Anastasimatarul*, Viena, 1823.
- 13. MOLDOVEANU, Nicu, Index general al studiilor, articolelor, recenziilor, compozițiilor, prelucrărilor și armonizărilor de muzică bisericească din revistele Patriarhiei Române, București, 2006.
- 14. OJOG, Victor, *Anastasimatar*, Iași, Edit. Mitropoliei Moldovei și Bucovinei Trinitas, Ediția a doua, 1998.
- 15. PANN, Anton, *Irmologhiu-Katavasier*, București, Tipografia lui Anton Pann, 1954.
- 16. PANŢIRU, Grigore, *Lecționarul evanghelic de la Iași*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1982.
- 17. POPESCU-PASĂREA, Ion, *Reguli pentru citirea Apostolului și Evangheliei*, București, Tipografia Profesională Dimitrie C. Ionescu, 1913.
- 18. RAGHEB, Moftah, Martha ROY, Margit TOTH, *The Coptic Orthodox Liturgy of St. Basil with Complete Musical Transcription*, Cairo and New York: The American University in Cairo Press, 1998.
- 19. SEVEREANU, Nicolae, Liturghierul Ritmic, coprinzând cântările Sfintei Liturghii ale Sfinților Ioan Gură de Aur, Vasilie și Grigorie, Ediția I, Buzău, Tipografia și Legătoria de cărți Dumitru Bălănescu, 1928.
- 20. STUPCANU, Theodor, *Triadicale*, Ms. aflat în Biblioteca Mitropoliei Iașilor sub cota II 6601, fond TP. Cuprinde o serie de cântări compuse începând cu anul 1915 până în anul 1921: *Polielee cu model de Mărimuri și Slave* 1921; *Catavasiile Sărbătorilor Împărătești* 1919; *Doxologii Practice* 1920; *Heruvice și Axioane* 1919; *Cântările Cununiei, Parastasului și Înmormântărilor* 1922; *Cântări din Penticostar* 1916; *Cântări din serviciul Praznicelor Împărătești și la alte sărbători anuale* 1916; *Cântări Triodice* 1921; *Prohodul Domnului nostru Iisus Hristos* 1921; *Podobiile* 1920.

- 21. LUNGU Nicolae, COSTEA Grigore și BRANIȘTE Ene, *Anastasimatarul Uniformizat. Utrenierul*, ediția a doua, București, Edit. Institutului Biblic și de Misiune Ortodoxă, 1974.
- 22. ZMEU, Ioan, *Anastasimatar practic*, București, Tipografia Cărților Bisericești, 1903.

B. Edited sources

- 1. ADAM, Domin, "Sensuri și simbolisme ale muzicii în cultura diferitelor popoare și în creștinism", în *Îndrumător* pastoral, vol. XXII, Alba Iulia, 2008, pp. 496-533.
- 2. ADAM, Domin, *Cultura muzicală bisericească în Eparhia Alba-Iulia*. Alba Iulia. Edit. Aeternitas. 2003.
- 3. ADAM, Domin, "Interpretarea muzicală a Sfintei Liturghii. Corelația Text-muzică-gen. Esteticul cântului", în *Misiune, Spiritualitate, Cultură*, Târgoviște, Valahia University Press, 2014, pp. 468-475.
- 4. ADEEB, Makar B., *The Abbreviated Coptic-English Dictionary*, California, Saint Antonius Coptic Orthodox Church, 2001.
- 5. ALEXANDRESCU, Dragoș, *Curs de teoria muzicii pentru învățământul muzical superior*, Academia de Muzică București, vol. II, Uz intern, București, 1992.
- 6. ALEXANDRU, Maria, "Preliminary Remarks on the Historiography of Byzantine Music and Hymnography", în *Bolletino di Badia Greca di Grottaferrata*, Terza Serie 3/2006, pp. 13-48.
- 7. ALEXE, Ștefan, "Foloasele cântării bisericești în comun după Sfântul Niceta de Remesiana", în *Biserica Ortodoxă Română*, LXXV, 1-2/1975, pp. 165-182.

- 8. IDEM, "Sfântul Niceta de Remesiana Despre folosul cântării de psalmi" Opere 6 (De psalmodae bono), (traducere), în *Mitropolia Olteniei*, XXVI, 5-6/1974, pp., 622-628.
- 9. ALEXIOU, Margaret, *After Antiquity. Greek Language, Myth and Metaphor*, Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press, 2002.
- 10. ANGELOPOULOS, Lykourgos, *Vocile Bizanţului*, traducere de Adrian Sârbu, Iași, 2011.
- 11. APOSTOLOPOULOS, Thomas, "Levels of Orality in Byzantine Music", în *Unity and Variety in Orthodox Music: Theory and Practice.* Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Orthodox Church Music, University of Joensuu, Finland 6-12 June 2011, pp. 73-82.
- 12. ATIYA, Aziz S., *A History of Eastern Christianity*, London, Methuesen, 1968.
- 13. AUBRY, P., Le rythme tonique dans la poésie liturgique et dans le chant des Èglises chrétiennes au moyen age, Paris, 1903.
- 14. BADET, Louis, *Chants Liturgiques des Coptes, notés et mis en ordre par Le Père Louis Badet,* S.J. [Première] Partie Office de la Sainte Messe, Chants du Peuple et du Diacre. Rome: La Filografica, 1936 [1899].
- 15. BARBU-BUCUR, Sebastian, *Cultura muzicală de tradiție bizantină pe teritoriul României*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1989.
- 16. BAUM, Wilhelm, WINKLER, Dietmar W., *Biserica Asiriană a Răsăritului*, Iași, Edit. Doxologia, 2020.
- 17. BELL, H.I., Egypt from Alexander the Great to the Arab Conquest, Oxford, 1948.
- 18. BERNSTEIN, Leonard, *Cum să înțelegem muzica?*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1991.
- 19. BLIN, Jules, Chants liturgiques des Coptes. Notés et mis en ordre par le père Jules Blin de la Compagnie de Jésus

- *missionnaire en Egypte*, [Première] Partie chantée par le peuple et le diacre. Cairo: Imprimerie nationale, 1888.
- 20. BORA, Sorin, Prof., "Evoluția structurilor sonore în muzica psaltică", în *Mitropolia Olteniei*, LV, 1-4/2003, pp. 159-163.
- 21. BORSAI, Ilona, "Mélodies traditionnnelles des Égyptiens et leur importance dans la recherche de l'ancienne musique pharaonique", în *Studia Musicologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 1968, T.10.
- 22. BORSAI, Ilona, "A la recherche de l'ancienne musique pharaonique", în *Cahiers d'Histoire Egyptienne*, vol XI, 1967.
- 23. BORSAI, Ilona, TOTH, Margit, "Variations ornementales dans l'interprétation d'un hymne copte", în *Studia Musicologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 1969,. T. 11, pp. 91-105.
- 24. IDEM, "Melody types of Egyptian Wedding Songs", în *Acta Etnographica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, tom. XIX, 1970.
- 25. BOURGAULT-DUCOUDRAY, Louis Albert, Études sur la musique ecclésiastique grecque; mission musicale en Grèce et en Orient, Janvier-May, 1875, Paris, 1877.
- 26. BOUVY, Edmond, *Poètes et mélodes, Etudes sur les origines du rythme tonique dans l'hymnographie de l'Èglise grecque*, Nimes, 1886.
- 27. BREAZUL, George, *Pagini din istoria muzicii românești*, vol. VI, București, Edit. Muzicală, 2003.
- 28. BREAZUL, George, *Scrisori și Documente*, vol. I, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1984.
- 29. BURADA, Teodor. T., *Opere*, vol. V., București, Edit. Basilica, 2014.
- 30. BURNS, Yvonne, "The Lectionary of Patriarch of Constantinople", în *Studia Patristica* 15 (1984), pp. 516-520.
- 31. BUTA, Ioan Eugen, *Reglementări canonice privind* cântarea liturgică în Biserica Orodoxă Română, Sibiu, Edit. Andreiana, 2016.

- 32. CERNĂTESCU, Cătălin, *Tradiția muzicală a irmoaselor calofonice de limbă română între secolele XVIII ȘI XXI*, București, Edit. Universității Naționale de Muzică, 2021.
- 33. CHAILLOT, Christine, BELOPOPSKY, Alexander, *Pentru unitate. Dialogul teologic dintre Biserica Ortodoxă și Bisericile Vechi Orientale*, traducere de Pr. Prof. Dr. Aurel Pavel și Ana-Monica Cojocărescu, Sibiu, Edit. Astra Museum, 2014.
- 34. CIOBANU, Gheorghe, "Limbile de cult la români în lumina manuscriselor muzicale", în *Mitropolia Banatului*, XXXI, 7-9/1981, pp. 485-499.
- 35. COMBARIEU, Jules, Théorie du rhytme dans la composition moderne d'après la doctrine antique, suivie d'un essai sur l'archéologie musicale au XIX siècle et le problème de l'origine des neumes, Paris, 1897.
- 36. COMIȘEL, Emilia, *Folclor muzical*, Edit. Didactică și Pedagogică, 1967.
- 37. CORBIN, Solange, "Neumatic Notations I-IV", în *The New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians*, Ed. Stanley Sadie, London: Macmillian, 1980, pp. 128-144.
- 38. COSGROVE, Charles H., An ancient Christian Hymn with musical notation: Papirus Oxyrhynchus 1786. Text and Commentaries, Tubingen, Germania, Mohr Siebeck, 2011.
- 39. COSTEA, Marcel Octav, *Sacerdotes, Schola et Christifideles*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 2006.
- 40. CRISTESCU, Dr. Constanța, *Chemări de Toacă*, București, Edit. Academiei Române, 2000.
- 41. CRUM, Walter E., Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the Collection of the John Rylands Library, Manchester, 1909. 42. CUCLIN, Dimitrie, "Rolul cântului gregorian din trecut până în zilele noastre si al cântului bizantin în viitor", în Muzică

- *și Poezie. Teatru și Plastică*, Edit. Fundațiilor Culturale Regale, anul I, 11/1936, pp. 3-8.
- 43. DANIELSON, Virginia, *The Voice of Egypt*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1997.
- 44. DAVIS Curtis W., MENUHIN, Yehudi, *Muzica omului*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1984.
- 45. DĂNCEANU, Liviu, *Introducere în epistemologia muzicii*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 2003.
- 46. DESBY, Frank (Fotios) Harry, *The modes and tunes in neo-Byzantine chant*, University of Southern California, 1975.
- 47. DEVREESSE, Robert, *Introduction à l'étude des manuscripts grecs*, Paris, Librairie C. Klincksieck, 1954.
- 48. DIACONESCU, Mircea Valeriu, *Relația dintre prozodie și melodie și rolul incizei în analiza imnologică și în versificarea de imnuri*, Achen, 2002.
- 49. DOLEZAL, Mary-Lyon, *The Middle Byzantine Lectionary: Textual and Pictorial Expression of Liturgical Ritual*, PhD Dissertation, University of Chicago, 1991.
- 50. DRAGOI, Prof. Dan-Eugen, Recitarea liturgică între tradiție și inovație, Galați, Edit. Episcopiei Dunării de Jos, 2001.
- 51. DUMITRU, Antonel Marius, "Diaconii Patriarhiei Române și rolul lor în Recitativul liturgic", în *Altarul Banatului*, 10-12/2020, pp. 124-136.
- 52. DUȚĂ, Marian Vasile, *Recitativul liturgic în tradiția bizantină și românească* (teză de doctorat manuscris), București, Facultatea de Teologie Ortodoxă "Patriarhul Justinian", 2015.
- 53. ELMASRY, Iris Habib, The Story of the Copts: The True Story of Christianity in Egypt, Book II: From the Arab

- Conquest to the Present Time, Newberry Springs, CA: St. Anthony Coptic Orthodox Monastery, 1982.
- 54. ENGBERG, Sysse Gudrun, "Greek Ekphonetic Notation: The Classical and the Pre-classical Systems", în *Palaeobyzantine Notations: A Reconsideration of the Source Material*, eds. J. Raasted and C. Troelsgård, A. A. Bredius Foundation, Hernen 1995, pp. 33–55.
- 55. ENGBERG, Sysse Gudrun, "Greek Ekphonetic Neumes and Masoretic Accents", în *Studies in Eastern Chant*, vol. I, Edited by Milos Velimirovic, London, Oxford, University Press, 1966.
- 56. ERBICEANU, Constantin, "Cântarea și imnografia în biserica primitivă", în *B.O.R.*, VII, 1/1883, pp. 34-47.
- 57. ERIAN, Nabila, *Coptic Music: An Egyptian Tradition*. PhD Dissertation, University of Maryland, 1986.
- 58. FELMY, Karl Christian, *De la Cina cea de Taină la Dumnezeiasca Liturghie a Bisericii Ortodoxe. Un comentariu istoric*, Ediția a II-a, Sibiu, Edit., Deisis, 2008.
- 59. FLAIȘER, Mariana, "Terminologia muzicii psaltice în limba română în secolul al XIX-lea", în *Teologie și Viață*, Serie Nouă, anul V (LXXI), 10-12/1995, pp. 185-214.
- 60. FLOROS, Constantin, Introduction to early medieval notation. Enlarged Second Edition, Revised, Translated and with an illustrated chapter on Cheironomy by Neil K. Moran, Warren, Mitchigan, Harmonie Park Press, 2005.
- 61. FRANGULEA, Victor Frangulea, *Profesorul Protopsalt Ion Popescu-Pasărea. Viața și opera*, București, Edit. Institutului Biblic și de Misiune al Bisericii Ortodoxe Române, 2004.
- 62. GASTOUÉ, Amédée, *Arta Gregoriană*, traducere de Ileana Rațiu și Doru Popovici, București, Edit. Muzicală a Uniunii Compozitorilor din Republica Socialistă România, 1967.

- 63. GASTOUÉ, Amédée, Introduction à la paléographie musicale byzantine. Catalogue des manuscrits de musique byzantine de la Bibliothèques publique de France, Paris, 1908.
- 64. GARDTHAUSEN, Viktor Emil, *Griechische Paleographie*, vol. II, Leipzig, 1913, p. 248.
- 65. GÉROLD, Th., *Histoire de la Musique des origines à le fin du XIV siècle*, Paris, Librairie Renouard, H. Laurens, Editeur, 1936.
- 66. GERTSMAN, E., *The Lost Centuries of Byzantine Music*, St. Petersburg, 2001.
- 67. GHALY, George, *Strategic Intertextuality in a Coptic Description of the Octoechos*, Coptica Vol.12, 2013.
- 68. GHEORGHE, Vasile, *Lecționarul evanghelic grecesc din Iași (ms. 194*), Academia Română. Studii și cercetări, XLI, Bucuresti, Imprimeria natională, 1940.
- 69. GHEORGHIŢĂ, Nicolae, "Perspective semiotico-semantice în cheironomia muzicală bizantină. Dimensiunea sacră a gestului cheironomic", în *Muzica*, 1/2001, pp. 145-159.
- 70. GHYKA, Matila, *Estetică și Teoria artei*, București, Edit. Științifică și Enciclopedică, 1981.
- 71. GIANNELOS, D., La musique byzantine, Le chant ecclésiastique grec, sa notation et sa pratique actuelle, Paris, 1996.
- 72. GOLDSCHMIDT Jr., Arthur, Mu'allim Ghali. Biographical Dictionary of Modern Egypt, Boulder and London: Lynne Rienner Publishers, Inc., 2000.
- 73. GOLEA, A., *Muzica din noaptea timpurilor până în zorile noi*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 1987, vol. I.
- 74. GRĂJDIAN, Vasile, *Teologia cântării liturgice în Biserica Ortodoxă*, Edit. Universității "Lucian Blaga" din Sibiu, 2000.

- 75. GRENFELL, B.P., & HUNT, A.S., *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri*, London, 1898.
- 76. GYULAI-MUREȘAN, Iosif, *Cântul sacru în Biserica Primelor Veacuri*, Constanța, Edit. Arhiepiscopiei Tomisului, 2014.
- 77. HAELST, J. van, Catalogue des papyrus littéraires juifs et chrétiens, Paris, nos. 985-988, 1976.
- 78. HAMILTON, Alastair, *The Copts and the West, 1429-1822: The European Discovery of the Egyptian Church.* New York: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- 79. HANNICK, Christian, Les Lectionnaires Grecs de l'Apostolos avec Notation Ekphonétique, Studies in Eastern Chant vol. IV, New York, 1979, p.76-80.
- 80. HATINA, Meir, "In Search of Authenticity: A Coptic Perception", în *Middle Eastern Studies*, Vol. 42(1)/2006, pp. 49-65.
- 81. HICKMANN, Hans, *Le Problème de la Notation Musicale Dans l'Égypt Ancienne*. Bulletin de l'Institut d'Egypte, Vol. 36, session 1953-1954, Cairo, Imprimerie de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, 1955.
- 82. IDELSOHN, Abraham Zebi, *Jewish Music in its historical development*, New-York, 1929.
- 83. HØEG, Carsten, *La notation ekphonétique*, (Monumenta Musicae Byzantinae, Subsidia 1/2), Copenhague, Levin & Munksgaard, 1935.
- 84. HUGLO, Michel, "L'introduction en occident des formules Byzantines d'intonation", în *Studies in Eastern Chant* 3 (1973), pp. 81-90.
- 85. HUŢU, Visarion, *Vorbirea şi cântul*, Iaşi, Edit. Doxologia, 2015.
- 86. IONAȘCU, Stelian, *Paul Constantinescu și muzica psaltică românească*, București, Edit. IBMBOR, 2005.

- 87. KOVALEVSKY, Maxim, "Le formulisme dans la musique liturgique chrétienne", în *L'Enciclopédie des musiques sacrées*, Paris, Edit. Labergerie-Mame, 1970.
- 88. LITCHFIELD, West Martin, *Ancient Greek Music*. Oxford and New York: Clarendon Press, 1992.
- 89. LOWDEN, JOHN, *The Jaharis Gospel Lectionary. The story of a Byzantine book*, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Yale University Press, New Haven and London, 2009.
- 90. LUNGU, Nicolae, "Tehnica recitativului liturgic. Organizarea corului bisericesc", în *Studii Teologice*, seria II, 3-4/1949.
- 91. LUNGU, Nicolae, "Combaterea inovațiilor în recitativul liturgic", în *Studii Teologice* IX, 7-8/1957, pp. 562-573.
- 92. MALATY, Fr. Tadros Y., *Biserica Ortodoxă Coptă, un miracol al istoriei*, prefață prof. dr. Terezia Filip, Baia-Mare, Proema, 2019.
- 93. MARTANI, Sandra, "L'évangéliaire de Iași et le système ekphonétique dans les manuscrits en majuscule", în *Acta Musicae Byzantinae* (Revista Centrului de Studii Bizantine de la Iași), vol. IV (2002); p. 20-25.
- 94. MARTANI, Sandra, "La notazione ecfonetica negli evangeliari greci tra XII e XIII secolo: un sistema notazionale che inizia a disgregarsi", în *Annales* (1998-2002), Tutzing, Schneider, 2003, (Institutum Musices Feldkirchense, 3), pp. 1-9.
- 95. MATEI, Zaharia, *Profesorul, protopsaltul și compozitorul Anton Pann (1797-1854)*, București, Edit. Basilica, 2014.
- 96. MAZILIȚA, Adrian-Cristian, "Cântarea ecfonetică sau tehnica recitativului liturgic în trecut și astăzi", în *Pastorație și Misiune. Metode misionar-pastorale de apărare și mărturisire*

- *a dreptei credințe în epoca modernă*, Craiova, Edit. Mitropoliei Olteniei, 2017.
- 97. MIKHAIL, Albair, Coptic Orthodox Liturgical History, Book 1: Regular Days Offering of Incense, Liturgies and Vigil, Texas, USA, St. Mary & Moses Abbey Press, 2022.
- 98. MOAWAD, Samuel, "The Role of the Church in Establishing Coptic Identity", în *Coptica* 13/2014, pp. 11-40.
- 99. MOCQUEREAU, André, "Origine et classement de differentes écritures neumatique: 1. Notation oratoire ou chironomique; 2. Notation musicale ou diastématique", în *Paléographie musicale 1* (1899), pp. 96-160.
- 100. MOISIL, Costin, *Românirea cântărilor: un meșteșug și multe controverse. Studii de muzicologie bizantină*, București, Edit. Muzicală, 2012.
- 101. MOURAD, Anna-Latifa, Rise of the Hyksos: Egypt and the Levant from the Middle Kingdom to the early Second Intermediate Period. Oxford, Archaeopress Publishing Ltd., 2015.
- 102. NAURATH, Alfred, Egypt, The Land between Sand and Nile, Berna, 1962.
- 103. NECULA, Nicolae D., "Doctrina și viața Bisericii Copte reflectate în textele ei liturgice (imne și rugăciuni)", în *Ortodoxia*, an XXVIII, 3-4/1976, pp. 473-606.
- 104. NECULA, Nicolae D., "Cântarea cultică în Biserica Coptă Ortodoxă", în *Glasul Bisericii*, an XXXII, 7-8/1973, pp. 773-781.
- 105. NEWLANDSMITH, Ernest, A Minstrel Friar: The Story of My Life, London, New Life Movement, 1927.
- 106. OCNEANU, Gabriela, "Recitativul liturgic bizantin și gregorian", în *Acta Musicae Byzantinae*, vol. III /2001, pp. 62-66.
- 107. O'LEARY De Lacy, D.D., *The Coptic Theotokia*, London, Luzac & Co., 1923.

- 108. PANŢIRU, Grigore, "Necesitatea și actualitatea cercetării științifice a muzicii vechi bizantine", în *Biserica Ortodoxă Română*, LXXXVII, 3-4/1969, pp. 434-441.
- 109. PERROT, Charles, "Cântarea imnică la evrei și la creștinii secolului I", în *Teologie și Viață*, Serie Nouă, 1-6/ 2003, pp. 270-283.
- 110. PETRESCO, J.D., *Les idiomèles et le canon de l'Office de Noël*, Paris, Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1932.
- 111. RAASTED, Jørgen, TROELSGÅRD, Christian, *Paleobyzantine notations: a reconsideration of the source material*, Hernen, A.A. Bredius Foundation, 1995.
- 112. RAGHEB, Nicholas Joseph, *The Transmission of Coptic Orthodox Liturgical Music: Historical and Contemporary Forms of Theorization, Translation and Identity Construction*, PhD Dissertation, Santa Barbara, University of California, 2019.
- 113. RAGHEB, Moftah, ROY, Martha, "Cantors, Their Role and Musical Training", în *The Coptic Encyclopedia*, Ed. Aziz S. Atiya, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, vol. 6/1991, pp. 1736-1738.
- 114. ROBERTSON, Marian, Ernest Newlandsmith's transcriptions of Coptic music. A Description and Critique, Diakonia 21(3), 1987.
- 115. ROBERTSON, Marian, "Gulezyan Manuscripts: Possible Remnants of Ancient Coptic Musical Notation?", în *Acts of the Fifth International Congress of Coptic Studies*, Washington, 12-15 August, Rome: Centro Italiano Microfisches, 1992.
- 116. ROMAN, Valeriu, Sunetul muzical, emisar și simbol al cântului sacru, Constanța, Edit. Arhiepiscopiei Tomisului, 2016.
- 117. SANDU-DEDIU, Valentina, *Alegeri, atitudini, afecte. Despre stil și retorică în muzică*, Galați, Edit. Didactică și Pedagogică, R.A., 2010.

- 118. SEBEŞAN, D.C., VĂRĂDEAN, V., "Recitativul liturgic", în *Mitropolia Banatului*, XX, 10-12/1970, pp. 702-713.
- 119. SEPALA, Serafim, Music in the Temple of Jerusalem during the Time of Apostles, în Church, State and Nation in Orthodox Church Music. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Orthodox Church Music, University of Joensuu, Finland 8-14 June 2009.
- 120. SHELEMAY, Kay Kaufman, JEFFERY, Peter, *Ethiopian Christian Liturgical Chant*, Anthology, vol. 1, Wisconsin, U.S.A., A-R Editions, Inc., Madison, 1993.
- 121. STANCIU, Vasile, *Particularități ale recitativului liturgic bizantin*, în *Anuarul Facultății de Teologie Ortodoxă*, vol. V (1998-2000), Cluj-Napoca, Edit. Arhidiecezană, 2000, pp. 255-259.
- 122. ŞOIMA, Gheorghe, Funcțiunile muzicii liturgice, Sibiu, 1945.
- 123. ŞTEFAN, Constantin-Răzvan, Stihirile Dogmatice Anastasime ale Vecerniei Sâmbetelor Octoihului. Izvoarele liturgice și tradiția muzicală din secolul al 9-lea până în prima jumătate a secolului al 19-lea, București, Edit. Basilica, 2014.
- 124. ŞTEFĂNESCU, N.I., "Influența muzicii poeziei lirice eline asupra asupra muzicii creștine din epoca primară", în: *Studii Teologice*, seria a II-a, an XVII, 1-2/1965, pp. 33-44.
- 125. TAKLA, Hany, "The Coptic Language: The Link to Ancient Egyptian", în *The Coptic Christian Heritage: History, Faith, and Culture,* Ed. L. Farag. New York: Routledge, 2014, pp. 179-194.
- 126. TEODOREANU, Nicolae, "Caracteristici muzicale ale recitării sacre în Biserica Ortodoxă Română, în *Revista de Etnografie si Folclor*, tom 43 (1998), nr. 3.

- 127. THIBAUT, Jean-Baptiste, Étude de musique byzantine. Le chant ekphonétique, Byzantinische Zeitschrift 8 (1899), pp. 122–147.
- 128. THIBAUT, J.B., Monuments de la notation ékphonétique et hagiopolite de l'église grecque: St: Petérsbourg, 1913, reprint, Hildesheim: Olms, 1976.
- 129. ȚIGHILIU, Iolanda, Societate și mentalitate în Țara Românească și Moldova secolelor XV-XVII, București, Paideia, 1997.
- 130. VĂRĂDEAN, Vasile, "Îndrumări practice privind recitativul liturgic și cântarea în comun", în *Mitropolia Banatului*, XX, 1-3/1970, 118-129.
- 131. VÎRTOSU, Emil, *Chirilicale. Note de paleografie românească*, București, Edit. Fundației Culturale Mihail Kogălniceanu, 1940.
- 132. WELESZ, Egon, *A history of byzantine music and hymnography*, Second Edition Revised and Enlarged, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1961.
- 133. WERNER, Eric, *The sacred bridge,* New York, Columbia University Press, 1963.